FY 1999-00 BUDGET ACT ALLOCATION ALLOCATION METHODOLOGIES

STATE GENERAL FUNDS

SGF DMC

The Department distributed \$31.3 million to counties proportionate to the final FY 1998-99 DMC contracts in each county. The amounts include final contract amounts held by the county and any direct contracts within the county.

SGF Ongoing

Non-DMC minimum base allocation (MBA) counties receive their FY 1993-94 SGF allocation; with the balance distributed to DMC counties proportionate to the FY 1993-94 SGF loss.

Out-of-County Reappropriated SGF

The Budget Act requires that reappropriated funds be allocated to counties for costs incurred in connection with serving Medi-Cal beneficiaries residing in other counties. Each county with a net balance (incoming costs exceeded outgoing costs) is allocated reappropriated SGF. This methodology was established in FY 1997-98 and reported to the Legislature on February 1, 1998 (ADP Letter 97-53, dated September 18, 1997).

Perinatal SGF DMC

The Department distributed \$4.0 million to DMC counties proportionate to the counties' final FY 1998-99 DMC county and direct contracts.

Perinatal SGF

Participating counties receive as much discretionary funds proportionate to their total FY 1998-99 perinatal discretionary SGF. Transitional Living is included in perinatal SGF discretionary.

Women and Children's Residential Treatment Services

The Department received \$3.6 million SGF to continue funding for the Women and Children's Residential Treatment Services program. The programs were previously funded by demonstration grants from the federal Center for Substance Abuse Treatment (CSAT). Funding is based on each program's final year grant award. The programs are: in Los Angeles County, Shields (\$854,039) and Prototypes (\$1,046,166); in San Diego County, Eye Counseling (\$661,277); in San Francisco County, Jelani House (\$217,606); and in Alameda County, East Bay Recovery (\$820,912).

Perinatal Expansion Program and Perinatal Expansion Program TANF MOE

Perinatal Expansion funds are being allocated to participating counties based on the established methodology of \$2,500 per each \$1 million, with the balance distributed straight per capita.

REIMBURSEMENT

Parolee Services Network

The CDC continues to make funding available for the Parolee Services Network and the Bay Area Services Network. Allocations are determined by CDC based on funding authority, utilization levels, and consideration of relative parolee populations in the covered counties.

FEDERAL TRUST FUNDS

SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION AND TREATMENT BLOCK GRANT

SAPT Discretionary

These funds are allocated based on the historical level. These funds include an increase of \$14.8 million that was allocated in FY 1998-99.

SAPT Discretionary Ongoing Increase

The SAPT Discretionary Ongoing Increase is allocated to all counties using the established methodology of \$2,500 per each \$1 million, and the balance distributed straight per capita to all counties.

SAPT Prevention Set-Aside

The terms of the SAPT Block Grant require that each state use at least 20 percent of its total award for primary prevention services. The Department's local assistance contracts for primary prevention services are deducted from the Set-Aside requirement prior to calculating each county's share of the requirement. The Prevention Set-Aside is calculated proportionate to each county's total SAPT funds.

Friday Night Live

Funding for the FNL program continues at the historical level.

Club Live

Funding for the CL program continues at the historical level.

SAPT HIV Services Set-Aside

Of the \$10.4 million, \$9.1 is allocated based on the historical level established in FY 1997-98 and the \$1.3 million is allocated based on the current methodology for new HIV funds described below. The FY 1999-00 HIV Set Aside increase, \$439,057, is allocated based on the following methodology of indicators and weighted amounts:

- 40 percent on the number incidence of recent AIDS cases (1993 to present)
- 25 percent on the total population from communities of color
- 15 percent on the total population below poverty level
- 15 percent on the total population living in rural areas; and
- 5 percent on the number of seroprevalence childbearing women (formerly neonatal surveillance).

A ratio is calculated by dividing the weighted indicators for each county into the weighted total. Each county's ratio is then multiplied by the total available funds to determine each county's share. Funds from those counties that decline the HIV Set-Aside funds are reallocated to the remaining participating counties using the same allocation methodology.

SAPT Perinatal Set-Aside

The Perinatal Set-Aside allocated to counties is \$15,554,000. This amount is the minimum maintenance of effort required of SAPT Block Grant funds for perinatal programs. The Perinatal Set-Aside is allocated to all counties at their FY 1998-99 historical level.

Female Offender Treatment Services

In 1990, the California Department of Corrections determined the areas where most of these women were paroled. Those numbers provided a ratio to calculate funding distribution. The Department agreed to provide funding and alcohol and other drug services for these women in the needed areas (Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, and San Bernardino counties). These funds are allocated at the historical level to the four counties.

Special Projects/Media Campaigns

Funds for special projects are allocated to: Alameda County for the African American Women's Media Campaign (\$27,500) and for a limited term research project conducted by The Public Health Institute through FY 2000-01 (\$110,000); Los Angeles County for the Alcohol Center for Women (\$95,000), the Hispanic Women's Media Campaign (\$65,000) and the African American Women's Media Campaign (\$27,500); Modoc County for the Indian Health Project (\$25,000); Shasta County for a limited term substance abuse coordinator (\$65,000); and Tulare County for the Tule River Tribal Council (\$48,000).

SAPT One-time Savings

These funds are allocated based on the historical level in FY 1997-98. The methodology at that time was \$2,500 per \$1 million, and the remaining funds distributed 50 percent equity step-up and 50 percent per capita to all counties.

SAPT Drug Court-Related Substance Abuse Treatment

The awards for the Drug Court-Related Substance Abuse Treatment project were made on a competitive basis through the Drug Court-Related Substance Abuse Treatment Request For Applications (RFA).

Awards were made within four county categories: MBA counties, medium-sized counties, large counties, and extra-large counties. The RFA is for a 36-month program with FY 1999-00 as the final year.

SAPT Adolescent Treatment Program

Funds have been awarded through a needs-based allocation process to 20 counties determined to have the greatest need for adolescent treatment services, based on five indicators related to adolescent alcohol and other drug use (see ADP Bulletin, #99-06, dated February 2, 1999).

SAFE AND DRUG FREE SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITIES

SDFSC Community Based Prevention

The SDFSC grant funds are allocated at the FY 1998-99 level.

SDFSC Community Based Prevention Ongoing Increase

The SDFSC ongoing increase was allocated over a two fiscal year period beginning in FY 1996-97. The funds were allocated to all counties using the methodology of \$2,500 per each \$1 million, and the remainder distributed 50% per capita, and 50% equity step-up to all counties.

California Mentor Initiative

Funds for local mentoring programs were made available to counties through an AFF process. The minimum base allocation counties are funded up to \$20,000, all other counties up to \$70,000 except Los Angeles, Orange and San Diego counties. These counties were eligible for larger awards due to their exceptionally large populations.

The FY 1999-00 allocation includes funding awarded under the Phase IV and IV.5 of the CMI to support local mentor programs in nine new counties. The nine counties are Glenn, Kern, Lassen, Madera, Napa, San Bernardino, San Joaquin, Santa Clara, and Siskiyou. The FY 1999-00 allocation also includes third-year funding for Los Angeles County and second-year funding for Orange County. Both of these counties received multiple awards during Phase I and III respectively.